

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

| | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|
| In the Matter of the Application of Ohio |) | |
| Edison Company, The Cleveland |) | |
| Electric Illuminating Company, and The |) | Case No. 25-0092-EL-SSO |
| Toledo Edison Company for Authority |) | |
| to Provide for a Standard Service Offer |) | |
| Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143 in the Form |) | |
| of an Electric Security Plan |) | |

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

SANTINO L. FANELLI

ON BEHALF OF

**OHIO EDISON COMPANY
THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY
THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY**

January 31, 2025

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, POSITION, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

3 A. My name is Santino L. Fanelli. I am employed by FirstEnergy Service Company (“FESC”)
4 as Director of the Ohio Rates and Regulatory Affairs department. My business address is
5 76 South Main Street, Akron, Ohio 44308.

6 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR BACKGROUND, PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE,
7 AND CURRENT JOB DUTIES.**

8 A. I have an undergraduate degree from John Carroll University and a graduate degree from
9 Rutgers University in the field of mathematics. Since starting my career with FESC in
10 2004, I have worked in various areas, including Rates and Regulatory Affairs, Controller’s,
11 Internal Auditing, Treasury, and Investor Relations. Most of my career has been in Rates
12 and Regulatory Affairs, where I have taken on roles of increasing responsibility as an
13 analyst, manager, and now in my current position as Director, which I assumed in 2016.
14 In my current role, I am responsible for the development and implementation of rates and
15 tariffs for Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The
16 Toledo Edison Company (individually, “Company” and collectively, the “Companies”). I
17 have experience in numerous matters that have come before the Public Utilities
18 Commission of Ohio (“Commission”), including the Companies’ electric security plans,
19 grid modernization plans, rider filings and audits.

20 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE COMMISSION?**

21 A. Yes. I have testified on behalf of the Companies in several cases, including the Companies’
22 most recent electric security plan (“ESP V”) in Case No. 23-0301-EL-SSO, the
23 Companies’ fourth electric security plan (“ESP IV”) in Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO, the

1 Companies' first phase of their grid modernization business plan in Case No. 16-0481-EL-
2 UNC, *et al*, and the Companies' currently pending base rate case ("2024 Base Rate Case")
3 in Case No. 24-0468-EL-AIR, *et al*. I also testified in support of the 2021 stipulation
4 resolving the Companies' ESP IV quadrennial review, significantly excessive earnings test
5 cases for years 2017-2020, and related matters in Case No. 20-1476-EL-UNC, *et al*.

6 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

7 A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide an overview of the Companies' proposed sixth
8 electric security plan ("ESP VI"). I discuss the Companies' rates and tariffs for ESP VI,
9 as well as the Companies' commitment to offer certain programs for the benefit of their
10 customers, the cost of which would not be recovered from customers. I also sponsor the
11 Companies' projected financial statements for the term of ESP VI. Finally, I describe how
12 the Companies' ESP VI is more favorable in the aggregate than the expected results of a
13 Market Rate Offer ("MRO") and explain how ESP VI supports state policies.

14 **Q. ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY ATTACHMENTS?**

15 A. Yes. I am sponsoring the following attachments to my testimony:

- 16 • Attachment SLF-1 – List of Riders and Tariff Provisions;
- 17 • Attachment SLF-2 – Redline Summary Rider; and
- 18 • Attachment SLF-3 – Projected Financial Statements and supporting work papers.

19
20 **II. OVERVIEW OF ESP VI**

21 **Q. WHAT ARE THE COMPANIES' OBJECTIVES IN ESP VI?**

22 A. ESP VI provides a framework for the Companies' provision of electric service to
23 customers, including generation, transmission, and distribution service. As in ESP V, key

1 objectives of ESP VI are to focus on reliability, affordability, and stewardship.
 2 Additionally, ESP VI is intended to align with the Companies’ new base rates in the 2024
 3 Base Rate Case and to establish fully defined terms and conditions for the full term of the
 4 ESP to provide certainty for customers and the Companies. In developing ESP VI, the
 5 Companies relied largely on the Commission’s Order approving ESP V with modifications
 6 (“ESP V Order”), in order to provide continuity for all stakeholders and avoid imposing
 7 material changes or significant new provisions.

8 **Q. WHAT IS THE PROPOSED TERM OF ESP VI?**

9 A. ESP VI is proposed to begin on the effective date of new base distribution rates resulting
 10 from the Companies’ 2024 Base Rate Case and end on May 31, 2028. The proposed ESP
 11 VI start date will help simplify ESP VI by eliminating the need to address how certain ESP
 12 VI provisions will be implemented during a bridge period before new base rates become
 13 effective, and it will also limit the number of rate changes for customers by ensuring that
 14 all ESP VI and 2024 Base Rate Case impacts go into effect together. The Companies’
 15 proposed ESP VI term will also allow all parties to review the Companies’ ESP VI
 16 proposals in conjunction with the 2024 Base Rate Case.

17 **Q. WHO ARE THE COMPANIES’ WITNESSES IN THIS CASE?**

18 A. The table below summarizes the Companies’ witnesses and their testimony topics.

| Witness | Topics |
|-----------------|--|
| Santino Fanelli | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ESP VI overview, including rates and tariffs • Stewardship initiatives without cost recovery • Projected financial statements for ESP VI • ESP vs. MRO “More Favorable in the Aggregate” test • How ESP VI supports state policies |
| Gregory Gawlik | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential change to the Companies’ Ohio tangible personal property tax obligations |

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| Robert Lee | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Standard Service Offer (“SSO”) competitive bidding process (“CBP”) and associated documents |
| Andrew Lubich | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Companies’ storm restoration and support for Rider SCR • Companies’ reliability performance, alignment with customer expectations, emphasis on and dedication of resources to reliability, and support for distribution riders |
| Brandon McMillen | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continuation of and proposed changes to the Delivery Capital Recovery Rider (“Rider DCR”), Advanced Metering Infrastructure / Modern Grid Rider (“Rider AMI”), Non-Market-Based Services Rider (“Rider NMB”) Pilot Program, Economic Load Response Program Rider (“Rider ELR”), and Economic Development Rider (“Rider EDR”) • Proposal to re-establish the Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery Rider (“Rider EEC”) |
| Edward Miller | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal to re-establish residential energy efficiency programs |
| Dhara Patel | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SSO retail rates • Estimated customer impacts of ESP VI |
| Courtney Urbancic | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal to eliminate inactive riders • Proposal to re-establish the Storm Cost Recovery Rider (“Rider SCR”) • Proposal to re-establish the Vegetation Management Cost Recovery Rider (“Rider VMC”) |
| Tyler Woody | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Companies’ vegetation management practices • Proposal for an enhanced vegetation management program |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

Q. HOW DOES ESP VI SUPPORT RELIABILITY FOR CUSTOMERS?

A. ESP VI seeks to continue and re-establish mechanisms that support the Companies’ ongoing investment in and maintenance of the distribution system. Consistent with the ESP V Order, the Companies propose to continue Rider AMI to provide an opportunity to recover costs of grid modernization capital investments and associated expenses, and to continue Rider DCR to provide an opportunity to recover the costs of non-grid modernization capital investments, as explained by Mr. McMillen. The Companies are also seeking to reinstate, with modifications, two riders approved in the ESP V Order: (1)

1 Rider SCR to support the Companies' storm restoration work, and (2) Rider VMC to
2 support the Companies' vegetation management activities, including an enhanced
3 vegetation management program, as explained in the testimonies of Companies' Witnesses
4 Lubich, Woody, and Urbancic. Riders AMI, DCR, SCR, and VMC allow for timely cost
5 recovery of investments and maintenance work that support the Companies' ability to
6 continue providing reliable service and meeting customer expectations around reliability.
7 Further, the proposed continuation of Rider ELR supports reliable distribution service by
8 providing for demand response resources that can be called upon to curtail during
9 emergency events, as explained by Mr. McMillen.

10 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW ESP VI SUPPORTS CUSTOMER AFFORDABILITY.**

11 A. The Companies propose to maintain the CBP that was approved in ESP V and in the
12 Companies' return to ESP IV. As explained by Mr. Lee, the CBP is designed to promote
13 open and fair competitive solicitations, and lead to reasonable prices for customers.

14 The Companies' ESP VI proposal includes several distribution cost recovery
15 provisions that provide protections for customers. Rider DCR will continue to be subject
16 to revenue caps during ESP VI, consistent with the ESP V Order, as described by Mr.
17 McMillen. The proposed annual aggregate revenue cap increases will be based on the
18 Companies' reliability results, to better align customers' costs with the Companies'
19 performance. The Companies are also proposing revenue caps on Rider VMC and Rider
20 SCR during ESP VI, and to delay recovery of costs under Rider SCR, as explained by Ms.
21 Urbancic. The proposed caps on Riders DCR, VMC, and SCR will support affordability
22 for customers by ensuring limits on the amounts they pay under these mechanisms.

1 In addition, as described in more detail below, the Companies are proposing to
2 implement the same residential energy efficiency programs in ESP VI that were approved
3 in the ESP V Order. The programs are designed to help customers use electricity more
4 efficiently and save on their electric bills, as explained by Mr. Miller. Further, the
5 Companies are proposing to phase-down the tariff credits available to Rider ELR
6 customers, consistent with the ESP V Order, which is intended to balance rate impacts to
7 participating customers and non-participating customers, as described by Mr. McMillen.

8 **Q. HAVE THE COMPANIES ANALYZED THE ESTIMATED IMPACTS OF ESP VI**
9 **ON CUSTOMER BILLS?**

10 A. Yes. Ms. Patel sponsors an analysis of the estimated bill impacts to SSO customers over
11 the term of ESP VI. Overall, the estimated impacts are reasonable. For example, estimated
12 average impacts to standard residential customers using 750 kWh per month are 2.7% in
13 the first year of ESP VI, and the average annual impact over the term of ESP VI is 1.7%.

14 **Q. HOW DOES ESP VI PROMOTE STEWARDSHIP?**

15 A. The Companies are proposing in ESP VI to continue or reestablish several programs
16 approved in ESP V that are designed to positively impact customers, the communities they
17 serve, and the environment. As explained in the testimony of Mr. Miller, the Companies
18 are seeking to establish a portfolio of residential energy efficiency programs designed to
19 help customers save on their electric bills, assist low-income customers, and protect the
20 environment. In addition, continuation of Rider ELR will support demand response and
21 economic development in the Companies' service territories by promoting the availability
22 of curtailable load for large commercial and industrial customers, as described by Mr.

1 McMillen. Lastly, the Companies are proposing to reinstate the stewardship commitments
2 authorized in ESP V, without cost recovery from customers.

3 **Q. WHAT STEWARDSHIP COMMITMENTS ARE THE COMPANIES PROPOSING**
4 **TO REINSTATE IN ESP VI?**

5 A. Consistent with the ESP V Order, the Companies are committing to spend \$6.5 million
6 annually over the term of ESP VI on programs to support low-income customers and
7 enhance the customer experience. The cost of these programs will not be recovered from
8 customers. These initiatives are intended to assist the Companies' most at-risk customers
9 and facilitate the transition to newer technologies. These annual commitments will be pro-
10 rated over the ESP VI term.

11 **Q. HOW DOES ESP VI PROVIDE ASSISTANCE TO AT-RISK CUSTOMERS?**

12 A. In ESP VI, the Companies are committing \$4.5 million per year to provide assistance for
13 low-income and senior citizen customers in paying their electric bills, which will not be
14 recovered from customers. In ESP IV, the Companies offer two separate bill payment
15 assistance programs (*i.e.*, "Fuel Funds") with different administrators, one that provides \$1
16 million annually and another that provides \$2.39 million annually. Under ESP VI, the
17 Companies will continue the first Fuel Fund program for \$1 million per year under the
18 same terms, conditions, and administration. Consistent with the ESP V Order, the second
19 Fuel Fund program will be increased to \$3.5 million per year in ESP VI, including \$2
20 million per year for new bill payment assistance targeted to senior citizen customers at risk
21 of disconnection (*i.e.*, "Senior Citizen Program"), for which the Companies intend to use a
22 competitive process to select one or more administrators. Customers under 300% of federal
23 income guidelines will be eligible for these ESP VI bill payment assistance programs. Any

1 unused amounts will be carried forward from year to year during ESP VI, including
2 incremental administrative costs. The Companies will provide annual reports on these
3 programs to Staff.

4 **Q. WHAT OTHER PROGRAMS IN ESP VI ARE INTENDED TO ENHANCE THE**
5 **CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE?**

6 A. Consistent with the ESP V Order, in ESP VI, the Companies will also restore the
7 commitment in the ESP V Order to spend \$2 million per year to support electric vehicle-
8 related initiatives, the cost of which initiatives will not be recovered from customers. The
9 Companies plan to help customers in their decision to adopt electric vehicles and
10 understand how to maximize the benefits of their investment. Any unused amounts over
11 the ESP VI term will be spent on the low-income programs discussed above, to ensure that
12 at least \$6.5 million annually is being spent on these initiatives during ESP VI, without
13 recovery from customers. The Companies will provide annual reports on these programs
14 to Staff.

15 **Q. HOW DO THE COMPANIES' PROPOSED ESP VI RIDERS AND TARIFFS**
16 **FURTHER PROMOTE STEWARDSHIP AND BENEFIT CUSTOMERS?**

17 A. Consistent with the ESP V Order, in ESP VI, the Companies are seeking to streamline and
18 clarify their tariffs, including significantly reducing the number of riders and tariff
19 provisions, to heighten customer understanding. Attachment SLF-1 includes a list of all
20 riders and relevant provisions, and identifies which ones will continue without
21 modifications, continue with modifications, be eliminated, and are new. Those listed as
22 "Continue, No Changes" are proposed to continue under ESP VI under the same terms and
23 conditions as in ESP IV. Those listed as "Eliminate, Remove" include tariff provisions

1 that are inactive, or that the Companies otherwise seek to remove effective on the start date
2 of ESP VI, to provide more clarity to customers. The proposed modifications to current
3 tariffs and new tariffs are described in the testimonies of Mr. McMillen and Ms. Urbancic,
4 and Ms. Urbancic also discusses the removal and reconciliation of inactive tariff
5 provisions. The proposed changes to the Companies' riders are also reflected in the
6 redlined Summary Rider in Attachment SLF-2.

7
8 **III. PROJECTED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

9 **Q. HAVE THE COMPANIES INCLUDED IN THEIR APPLICATION PROJECTED**
10 **FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE TERM OF ESP VI?**

11 A. Yes. Attachment SLF-3 provides projected financial statements for the term of ESP VI,
12 including projected income statements, balance sheets, and sources and uses of funds, and
13 supporting work papers.

14 **Q. WHAT ARE THE MAIN ASSUMPTIONS INCLUDED IN THE DEVELOPMENT**
15 **OF THESE PROJECTED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS?**

16 A. The basis for the projected financial statements is the Companies' most recent financial
17 forecast available at the time ESP VI was developed, which was prepared by the
18 Companies in the ordinary course of their businesses and covers years 2026-2028. The
19 Companies incorporated assumptions for the estimated impacts of proposed ESP VI
20 starting January 1, 2026, including the following: Rider DCR revenue cap increases;
21 energy efficiency programs and cost recovery in Rider EEC; Rider SCR; and the enhanced
22 vegetation management programs and cost recovery in Rider VMC.

23

1 **IV. ESP VS. MRO TEST**

2 **Q. WHAT IS THE “MORE FAVORABLE IN THE AGGREGATE” TEST?**

3 A. The ESP vs. MRO “More Favorable in the Aggregate” Test evaluates whether a proposed
4 ESP, including its pricing and all other terms and conditions, is more favorable in the
5 aggregate as compared to the expected results that would otherwise apply under an MRO.

6 **Q. IS ESP VI MORE FAVORABLE IN THE AGGREGATE THAN AN MRO?**

7 A. Yes. In general, an ESP is a broad plan that addresses multiple aspects of electric service
8 to customers, as compared to an MRO, which the Companies expect would be limited to
9 the supply and pricing of electric generation service. There is no difference in the resulting
10 generation service pricing between the proposed ESP and an MRO, since the Companies
11 would also use a competitive process to procure generation service for SSO customers
12 under an MRO. However, there are several provisions of proposed ESP VI that are
13 estimated to provide benefits that would not be realized under an MRO.

14 First, the Companies commit to spend \$6.5 million per year on programs designed
15 to support low-income and senior citizen customers and enhance the customer experience,
16 without any cost recovery from customers. Second, the Companies’ proposed ESP VI
17 energy efficiency programs are designed to help customers use electricity more efficiently
18 and reduce the cost of their electric bills, protect the environment, and support low-income
19 customers. In addition, ESP VI seeks to continue or reestablish mechanisms to recover
20 distribution-related costs, namely Riders DCR, AMI, SCR, and VMC. Since the costs
21 recovered in these riders would be recoverable outside of an ESP, there is no quantifiable
22 net cost or benefit. However, these mechanisms provide benefits by supporting investment
23 in and maintenance of the distribution system through more efficient means than may

1 otherwise occur, including revenue caps to limit bill impacts on customers, timely and
2 focused audits, as well as timely reconciliations to ensure that customers are only paying
3 for actual costs to better align with service provided to customers. For these reasons, ESP
4 VI is expected to be more favorable in the aggregate than an MRO.

5
6 **V. STATE POLICY**

7 **Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH STATE POLICIES REGARDING THE PROVISION**
8 **OF ELECTRIC SERVICE?**

9 A. Yes. While I am not an attorney, I am generally aware of the state policies prescribed in
10 Ohio Revised Code 4928.02.

11 **Q. HOW DOES ESP VI SUPPORT STATE POLICIES?**

12 A. ESP VI provides several benefits that support state policies.

- 13 • The proposed CBP and associated retail SSO riders produce unbundled and comparable
14 retail electric generation service for customers, support diversity of electricity and
15 suppliers, and ensure the availability of adequate, reliable, safe, efficient,
16 nondiscriminatory, and reasonably priced retail electric service.
- 17 • Riders DCR, AMI, SCR, and VMC, including the proposed revenue caps discussed
18 above, also help ensure the availability of adequate, reliable, safe, efficient,
19 nondiscriminatory, and reasonably priced retail electric service. In addition, these
20 provisions encourage cost-effective and efficient access to information regarding the
21 operation of the Companies' distribution system.
- 22 • Rider AMI encourages cost-effective demand-side retail electric service through time-
23 differentiated pricing, smart grid programs, and implementation of advanced metering

1 infrastructure. Further, Rider AMI helps encourage cost-effective, timely, and efficient
2 access to customer usage data to promote customer choice.

- 3 • As explained by Mr. Miller, the proposed energy efficiency and demand response
4 programs encourage several state policies, including: ensuring the availability of
5 adequate, reliable, safe, efficient, nondiscriminatory, and reasonably priced retail
6 electric service; providing incentives to technologies to encourage reduced electricity
7 consumption; and protecting at-risk populations through low-income programs.
- 8 • The continuation of Rider ELR will help ensure the availability of adequate, reliable,
9 safe, efficient, nondiscriminatory, and reasonably priced retail electric service, and will
10 also help facilitate the state's effectiveness in the global economy.
- 11 • The commitments to support low-income and senior citizen customers through bill
12 payment assistance programs will help protect at-risk populations and ensure the
13 availability of adequate, reliable, safe, efficient, nondiscriminatory, and reasonably
14 priced retail electric service.
- 15 • The commitments to support electric vehicles will help facilitate the state's
16 effectiveness in the global economy.

17
18 **VI. CONCLUSION**

19 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

20 **A. Yes.**

Attachment SLF-1 - List of Riders and Tariff Provisions

| Tariff / Rider | Tariff Sheet No. | | | Continue, No Changes | Continue, With Changes | Eliminate, Remove | New Tariff |
|--|------------------|----------|----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | OE | CEI | TE | | | | |
| I. Existing Riders | | | | | | | |
| (1) Advanced Metering Infrastructure / Modern Grid - Rider AMI | 106 | 106 | 106 | | X | | |
| (2) Alternative Energy Resource - Rider AER | 84 | 84 | 84 | X | | | |
| (3) Automated Meter Opt-Out - Rider AMO | 128 | 128 | 128 | X | | | |
| (4) Business Distribution Credit - Rider BDC | 86 | 86 | 86 | X | | | |
| (5) CEI Delta Revenue Recovery - Rider CDR | N/A | 112 | N/A | | | X | |
| (6) Commercial High Load Factor Experimental TOU - Rider HLF | 130 | 130 | 130 | X | | | |
| (7) Conservation Support - Rider CSR | 133 | 133 | 133 | X | | | |
| (8) Consumer Rate Credit - Rider CRC | 137 | 137 | 137 | X | | | |
| (9) County Fairs and Agricultural Societies - Rider CFA | 134 | 134 | 134 | X | | | |
| (10) Deferred Fuel Cost Recovery - Rider DFC | 118 | 118 | 118 | | | X | |
| (11) Deferred Generation Cost Recovery - Rider DGC | 117 | 117 | 117 | | | X | |
| (12) Delivery Capital Recovery - Rider DCR | 124 | 124 | 124 | | X | | |
| (13) Delivery Service Improvement - Rider DSI | 108 | 108 | 108 | | | X | |
| (14) Delta Revenue Recovery - Rider DRR | 96 | 96 | 96 | X | | | |
| (15) Demand Side Management - Rider DSM | 97 | 97 | 97 | | | X | |
| (16) Demand Side Management and Energy Efficiency - Rider DSE | 115 | 115 | 115 | | X | | |
| (17) Distribution Uncollectible - Rider DUN | 99 | 99 | 99 | X | | | |
| (18) Economic Development - Rider 4a | N/A | N/A | 88 | | | X | |
| (19) Economic Development - Rider EDR (a) | 116 | 116 | 116 | X | | | |
| (20) Economic Development - Rider EDR (b) | 116 | 116 | 116 | | X | | |
| (21) Economic Development - Rider EDR (c) | 116 | 116 | 116 | X | | | |
| (22) Economic Development - Rider EDR (d) | 116 | 116 | 116 | | | X | |
| (23) Economic Development - Rider EDR (e) | 116 | 116 | 116 | | X | | |
| (24) Economic Development - Rider EDR (h) | 116 | 116 | 116 | X | | | |
| (25) Economic Development - Rider EDR (i) | 116 | 116 | 116 | X | | | |
| (26) Economic Load Response Program - Rider ELR | 101 | 101 | 101 | | X | | |
| (27) Experimental Critical Peak Pricing - Rider CPP | 113 | 113 | 113 | X | | | |
| (28) Experimental Real Time Pricing - Rider RTP | 111 | 111 | 111 | X | | | |
| (29) Fuel Rider | 105 | 105 | 105 | | | X | |
| (30) Generation Cost Reconciliation - Rider GCR | 103 | 103 | 103 | X | | | |
| (31) Generation Service - Rider GEN | 114 | 114 | 114 | X | | | |
| (32) Government Directives Recovery - Rider GDR | 126 | 126 | 126 | | | X | |
| (33) Grandfathered Contract - Rider GRC | N/A | 94 | N/A | | | X | |
| (34) Hospital Net Energy Metering - Rider HNM | 87 | 87 | 87 | X | | | |
| (35) Legacy Generation Resource - Rider LGR | 135 | 135 | 135 | X | | | |
| (36) Line Extension Cost Recovery - Rider LEX | 107 | 107 | 107 | | | X | |
| (37) Net Energy Metering Rider | 94 | 93 | 93 | X | | | |
| (38) Non-Distribution Uncollectible - Rider NDU | 110 | 110 | 110 | X | | | |
| (39) Non-Market-Based Services - Rider NMB | 119 | 119 | 119 | X | | | |
| (40) Non-Residential Deferred Distribution Cost Recovery - Rider NDD | 121 | 121 | 121 | | | X | |
| (41) Ohio Renewable Resources - Rider ORR | 129 | 129 | 129 | | | X | |
| (42) Peak Time Rebate Program - Rider PTR | N/A | 88 | N/A | | | X | |
| (43) Phase-In Recovery - Rider PIR | 125 | 125 | 125 | X | | | |
| (44) PIPP Uncollectible - Rider PUR | 109 | 109 | 109 | X | | | |
| (45) Reasonable Arrangement - Rider RAR | 98 | 98 | 98 | X | | | |
| (46) Residential Critical Peak Pricing - Rider RCP | N/A | 89 | N/A | | | X | |
| (47) Residential Deferred Distribution Cost Recovery - Rider RDD | 120 | 120 | 120 | | | X | |
| (48) Residential Distribution Credit - Rider RDC | 81 | 81 | 81 | X | | | |
| (49) Residential Electric Heating Recovery - Rider RER | 122 | 122 | 122 | X | | | |
| (50) Residential Generation Credit - Rider RGC | 123 | 123 | 123 | X | | | |
| (51) School Distribution Credit - Rider SDC | 85 | 85 | 85 | X | | | |
| (52) Solar Generation Fund - Rider SGF | 136 | 136 | 136 | X | | | |
| (53) State kWh Tax - Rider SKT | 92 | 92 | 92 | X | | | |
| (54) Tax Savings Adjustment - Rider TSA | 91 | 91 | 91 | X | | | |
| (55) Transmission and Ancillary Services - Rider TAS | 83 | 83 | 83 | | | X | |
| (56) Universal Service Fund - Rider USF | 90 | 90 | 90 | X | | | |
| (57) Summary Rider | 80 | 80 | 80 | | X | | |
| II. Existing Other Tariffs and Provisions | | | | | | | |
| (58) Co-Generators and Small Power Production | 50 | 48 | 70 | X | | | |
| (59) Electric Service Regulations | 4 | 4 | 4 | X | | | |
| (60) Incremental Tax Provision | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | X | |
| (61) Interconnection Tariff | 82 | 95 | 76 | X | | | |
| (62) Experimental Company Owned LED Lighting Program | 34 | 34 | 34 | X | | | |
| (63) Miscellaneous Charges | 75 | 75 | 75 | X | | | |
| (64) Partial Service Schedule | 24 | 46 | 52 | X | | | |
| (65) Pole Attachment | 51 | Separate | Separate | X | | | |
| (66) Residential Renewable Energy Credit Purchase Program | 60 | 60 | 60 | X | | | |
| (67) Supplier Tariff | S-2 | S-2 | S-2 | X | | | |
| III. New Riders/Tariffs | | | | | | | |
| (68) Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery - Rider EEC | 138 | 138 | 138 | | | | X |
| (69) Storm Cost Recovery - Rider SCR | 139 | 139 | 139 | | | | X |
| (70) Vegetation Management Cost Recovery - Rider VMC | 140 | 140 | 140 | | | | X |
| (71) TOTALS | | | | 42 | 7 | 18 | 3 |

SUMMARY RIDER

Rates and charges included in the rate schedules listed in the following matrix shall be modified consistent with the terms and conditions of the indicated Riders:

| Rider - (Sheet) | | Rate Schedule | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---------------|----|----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | RS | GS | GP | GSU | GT | STL | TRF | POL |
| Q | Advanced Metering Infrastructure / Modern Grid - (106) | ● | ● | ● | ● | | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Alternative Energy Resource - (84) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Automated Meter Opt-Out - (128) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Business Distribution Credit - (86) | | ● | ● | | | | | |
| Q | CEI Delta Revenue Recovery - (112) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Commercial High Load Factor Experimental TOU - (130) | | ● | ● | | | | | |
| A | Conservation Support Rider - (133) | ● | ● | | | | | | |
| A | Consumer Rate Credit Rider - (137) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | County Fairs and Agricultural Societies Rider - (134) | | ● | ● | | | | | |
| | Deferred Fuel Cost Recovery - (118) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Deferred Generation Cost Recovery - (117) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Delivery Capital Recovery - (124) | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | | |
| | Delivery Service Improvement - (108) | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | | |
| Q | Delta Revenue Recovery - (96) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| T | Demand Side Management - (97) | ● | | | | | | | |
| T | Demand Side Management and Energy Efficiency - (115) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Distribution Uncollectible - (99) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Economic Development - (116) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Economic Load Response Program - (101) | | | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| A | Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery - (138) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Experimental Critical Peak Pricing - (113) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| | Experimental Real Time Pricing - (111) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| | Fuel - (105) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Generation Cost Reconciliation - (103) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Generation Service - (114) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| T | Government Directives Recovery - (126) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Grandfathered Contract - (94) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| | Hospital Net Energy Metering - (87) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| T | Legacy Generation Resource - (135) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Line Extension Cost Recovery - (107) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Net Energy Metering - (93) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| Q | Non-Distribution Uncollectible - (110) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Non-Market-Based Services - (119) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| P | Non-Residential Deferred Distribution Cost Recovery - (121) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Ohio Renewable Resources - (129) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Peak Time Rebate Program (88) | ● | | | | | | | |
| T | Phase-In Recovery (125) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | PIPP Uncollectible - (109) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Reasonable Arrangement - (98) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| P | Residential Deferred Distribution Cost Recovery - (120) | ● | | | | | | | |

SUMMARY RIDER

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Residential Distribution Credit - (81) | ● | | | | | | | |
| T | Residential Electric Heating Recovery - (122) | ● | | | | | | | |
| | Residential Generation Credit - (123) | ● | | | | | | | |
| | School Distribution Credit - (85) | | ● | ● | ● | | | | |
| A | Solar Generation Fund - (136) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | State kWh Tax - (92) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Storm Cost Recovery - (139) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Tax Savings Adjustment - (91) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Transmission and Ancillary Services - (83) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| P | Universal Service - (90) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Vegetation Management Cost Recovery - (140) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |

● - Rider is applicable or available to the rate schedules indicated

A - Rider is updated/reconciled annually

Q - Rider is updated/reconciled quarterly

T - Rider is updated/reconciled twice per year

P - Rider is updated/reconciled periodically

SUMMARY RIDER

Rates and charges included in the rate schedules listed in the following matrix shall be modified consistent with the terms and conditions of the indicated Riders:

| Rider - (Sheet) | | Rate Schedule | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---------------|----|----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | RS | GS | GP | GSU | GT | STL | TRF | POL |
| Q | Advanced Metering Infrastructure / Modern Grid - (106) | ● | ● | ● | ● | | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Alternative Energy Resource - (84) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Automated Meter Opt-Out - (128) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Business Distribution Credit - (86) | | ● | ● | | | | | |
| A | Commercial High Load Factor Experimental TOU - (130) | | ● | ● | | | | | |
| A | Conservation Support Rider (133) | ● | ● | | | | | | |
| A | Consumer Rate Credit - (137) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | County Fairs and Agricultural Societies (134) | | ● | ● | | | | | |
| | Deferred Fuel Cost Recovery - (118) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Deferred Generation Cost Recovery - (117) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Delivery Capital Recovery - (124) | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | | |
| | Delivery Service Improvement - (108) | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | | |
| Q | Delta Revenue Recovery - (96) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| T | Demand Side Management - (97) | ● | | | | | | | |
| T | Demand Side Management and Energy Efficiency - (115) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Distribution Uncollectible - (99) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Economic Development - (116) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Economic Load Response Program - (101) | | | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| A | Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery - (138) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Experimental Critical Peak Pricing - (113) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| | Experimental Real Time Pricing - (111) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| | Fuel - (105) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Generation Cost Reconciliation - (103) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Generation Service - (114) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| T | Government Directives Recovery - (126) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Hospital Net Energy Metering - (87) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| T | Legacy Generation Resource - (135) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Line Extension Cost Recovery - (107) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Net Energy Metering - (94) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| Q | Non-Distribution Uncollectible - (110) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Non-Market-Based Services - (119) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| P | Non-Residential Deferred Distribution Cost Recovery - (121) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Ohio Renewable Resources - (129) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Partial Service - (24) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| T | Phase-In Recovery (125) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | PIPP Uncollectible - (109) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Reasonable Arrangement - (98) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| P | Residential Deferred Distribution Cost Recovery - (120) | ● | | | | | | | |
| | Residential Distribution Credit - (81) | ● | | | | | | | |
| T | Residential Electric Heating Recovery - (122) | ● | | | | | | | |
| | Residential Generation Credit - (123) | ● | | | | | | | |

SUMMARY RIDER

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | School Distribution Credit - (85) | | ● | ● | ● | | | | |
| A | Solar Generation Fund – (136) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | State kWh Tax - (92) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | <u>Storm Cost Recovery – (139)</u> | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Tax Savings Adjustment – (91) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Transmission and Ancillary Services – (83) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| P | Universal Service - (90) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | <u>Vegetation Management Cost Recovery – (140)</u> | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |

● - Rider is applicable or available to the rate schedules indicated

A - Rider is updated/reconciled annually

T - Rider is updated/reconciled twice per year

Q - Rider is updated/reconciled quarterly periodically

P - Rider is updated/reconciled

SUMMARY RIDER

Rates and charges included in the rate schedules listed in the following matrix shall be modified consistent with the terms and conditions of the indicated Riders:

| Rider - (Sheet) | | Rate Schedule | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---------------|----|----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | RS | GS | GP | GSU | GT | STL | TRF | POL |
| Q | Advanced Metering Infrastructure / Modern Grid - (106) | ● | ● | ● | ● | | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Alternative Energy Resource - (84) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Automated Meter Opt-Out - (128) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Business Distribution Credit - (86) | | ● | ● | | ● | | | |
| A | Commercial High Load Factor Experimental TOU - (130) | | ● | ● | | | | | |
| A | Conservation Support Rider (133) | ● | ● | | | | | | |
| A | Consumer Rate Credit - (137) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | County Fairs and Agricultural Societies (134) | | ● | ● | | | | | |
| | Deferred Fuel Cost Recovery - (118) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Deferred Generation Cost Recovery - (117) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Delivery Capital Recovery - (124) | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | | |
| | Delivery Service Improvement - (108) | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | | |
| Q | Delta Revenue Recovery - (96) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| T | Demand Side Management - (97) | ● | | | | | | | |
| T | Demand Side Management and Energy Efficiency - (115) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Distribution Uncollectible - (99) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Economic Development - (116) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Economic Development 4a - (88) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| | Economic Load Response Program - (101) | | | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| A | Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery - (138) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Experimental Critical Peak Pricing - (113) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| | Experimental Real Time Pricing - (111) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| | Fuel - (105) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Generation Cost Reconciliation - (103) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Generation Service - (114) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| T | Government Directives Recovery - (126) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Hospital Net Energy Metering - (87) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| T | Legacy Generation Resource - (135) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | Line Extension Cost Recovery - (107) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Net Energy Metering - (93) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| Q | Non-Distribution Uncollectible - (110) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Non-Market-Based Services - (119) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| P | Non-Residential Deferred Distribution Cost Recovery - (121) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Ohio Renewable Resources - (129) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| T | Phase-In Recovery (125) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Q | PIPP Uncollectible - (109) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | Reasonable Arrangement - (98) | | ● | ● | ● | ● | | | |
| P | Residential Deferred Distribution Cost Recovery - (120) | ● | | | | | | | |
| | Residential Distribution Credit - (81) | ● | | | | | | | |
| T | Residential Electric Heating Recovery - (122) | ● | | | | | | | |
| | Residential Generation Credit - (123) | ● | | | | | | | |

SUMMARY RIDER

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | School Distribution Credit - (85) | | ● | ● | ● | | | | |
| A | Solar Generation Fund – (136) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| | State kWh Tax - (92) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | <u>Storm Cost Recovery – (139)</u> | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | Tax Savings Adjustment – (91) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | <u>Transmission and Ancillary Services – (83)</u> | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| P | Universal Service - (90) | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| A | <u>Vegetation Management Cost Recovery - (140)</u> | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |

● - Rider is applicable or available to the rate schedules indicated

A - Rider is updated/reconciled annually
Q - Rider is updated/reconciled quarterly

T - Rider is updated/reconciled twice per year
P - Rider is updated/reconciled periodically

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 25-0092-EL-SSO
Projected Income Statement
(in millions)

| Line No. | Description | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 |
|----------|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 1 | Operating Revenues | | | |
| 2 | Sales of Electricity | 1,682 | 1,779 | 1,845 |
| 3 | Other Operating Revenues | 27 | 27 | 28 |
| 4 | Total Electric Operating Revenues | 1,710 | 1,807 | 1,873 |
| 5 | Operating Expenses | | | |
| 6 | Operation & Maintenance | 1,051 | 1,104 | 1,139 |
| 7 | Depreciation & Amortization | 131 | 144 | 160 |
| 8 | Taxes Other Than Income Taxes | 236 | 245 | 256 |
| 9 | Operating Expenses | 1,418 | 1,494 | 1,554 |
| 10 | Operating Margin | 291 | 313 | 318 |
| 11 | Other Income (Expense) | 0 | 31 | 31 |
| 12 | Earnings before Interest & Taxes | 291 | 344 | 349 |
| 13 | Interest Expense | 84 | 85 | 91 |
| 14 | Income Taxes | 46 | 57 | 57 |
| 15 | Net Income | 161 | 201 | 201 |

Numbers may not add due to rounding.

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company

Case No. 25-0092-EL-SSO

Projected Income Statement

(in millions)

| Line No. | Description | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | Operating Revenues | | | |
| 2 | Sales of Electricity | 1,203 | 1,272 | 1,321 |
| 3 | Other Operating Revenues | 21 | 21 | 22 |
| 4 | Total Electric Operating Revenues | 1,224 | 1,293 | 1,343 |
| 5 | Operating Expenses | | | |
| 6 | Operation & Maintenance | 718 | 758 | 777 |
| 7 | Depreciation & Amortization | 117 | 122 | 135 |
| 8 | Taxes Other Than Income Taxes | 229 | 236 | 244 |
| 9 | Operating Expenses | 1,063 | 1,116 | 1,156 |
| 10 | Operating Margin | 160 | 178 | 187 |
| 11 | Other Income (Expense) | (13) | 6 | 8 |
| 12 | Earnings before Interest & Taxes | 147 | 184 | 195 |
| 13 | Interest Expense | 67 | 68 | 75 |
| 14 | Income Taxes | 18 | 26 | 27 |
| 15 | Net Income | 62 | 90 | 92 |

Numbers may not add due to rounding.

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 25-0092-EL-SSO
Projected Income Statement
(in millions)

| Line No. | Description | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | Operating Revenues | | | |
| 2 | Sales of Electricity | 555 | 585 | 610 |
| 3 | Other Operating Revenues | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| 4 | Total Electric Operating Revenues | 574 | 605 | 629 |
| 5 | Operating Expenses | | | |
| 6 | Operation & Maintenance | 373 | 394 | 407 |
| 7 | Depreciation & Amortization | 40 | 39 | 43 |
| 8 | Taxes Other Than Income Taxes | 74 | 78 | 82 |
| 9 | Operating Expenses | 487 | 511 | 532 |
| 10 | Operating Margin | 87 | 94 | 96 |
| 11 | Other Income (Expense) | (1) | 8 | 8 |
| 12 | Earnings before Interest & Taxes | 86 | 102 | 104 |
| 13 | Interest Expense | 28 | 29 | 31 |
| 14 | Income Taxes | 13 | 16 | 16 |
| 15 | Net Income | 44 | 57 | 57 |

Numbers may not add due to rounding.

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 25-0092-EL-SSO
Projected Balance Sheet
(In millions)

| Line No. | Description | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 |
|----------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | ASSETS | | | |
| 2 | Gross Plant in Service | 4,984 | 5,198 | 5,427 |
| 3 | CWIP | 140 | 150 | 194 |
| 4 | TOTAL UTILITY PLANT | 5,124 | 5,348 | 5,622 |
| 5 | Accumulated Depreciation | (2,010) | (2,114) | (2,231) |
| 6 | NET UTILITY PLANT | 3,115 | 3,234 | 3,391 |
| 7 | Investments | 110 | 115 | 119 |
| 8 | Regulatory Assets & Deferred Debits | 68 | 69 | 70 |
| 9 | Current Assets | 280 | 279 | 271 |
| 10 | TOTAL ASSETS | 3,573 | 3,696 | 3,852 |
| 11 | EQUITY AND LIABILITIES | | | |
| 12 | Common Stock | 1,024 | 1,024 | 1,024 |
| 13 | Other Paid-in Capital | 9 | 13 | 18 |
| 14 | Retained Earnings | 160 | 218 | 272 |
| 15 | Other Comprehensive Income | (8) | (8) | (8) |
| 16 | TOTAL COMMON EQUITY | 1,185 | 1,247 | 1,306 |
| 17 | LONG TERM DEBT | 1,116 | 1,117 | 1,365 |
| 18 | TOTAL CAPITAL | 2,301 | 2,364 | 2,671 |
| 19 | Short Term Debt/Notes Payable | 167 | 246 | 92 |
| 20 | Deferred Income Taxes | 406 | 404 | 410 |
| 21 | Investment Tax Credits | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | Retirement Benefits | 117 | 110 | 103 |
| 23 | Asset Retirement Obligations | 35 | 37 | 39 |
| 24 | Regulatory Liabilities | 114 | 83 | 61 |
| 25 | Other Liabilities | 433 | 451 | 474 |
| 26 | TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES | 3,573 | 3,696 | 3,852 |

Numbers may not add due to rounding.

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 25-0092-EL-SSO
Projected Balance Sheet
(In millions)

| Line No. | Description | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 |
|----------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | ASSETS | | | |
| 2 | Gross Plant in Service | 4,357 | 4,525 | 4,718 |
| 3 | CWIP | 76 | 90 | 112 |
| 4 | TOTAL UTILITY PLANT | 4,433 | 4,615 | 4,830 |
| 5 | Accumulated Depreciation | (1,854) | (1,966) | (2,086) |
| 6 | NET UTILITY PLANT | 2,579 | 2,649 | 2,744 |
| 7 | Investments | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 8 | Regulatory Assets & Deferred Debits | 1,750 | 1,790 | 1,825 |
| 9 | Current Assets | 155 | 166 | 175 |
| 10 | TOTAL ASSETS | 4,492 | 4,615 | 4,753 |
| 11 | EQUITY AND LIABILITIES | | | |
| 12 | Common Stock | 1,689 | 1,689 | 1,689 |
| 13 | Other Paid-in Capital | 8 | 11 | 15 |
| 14 | Retained Earnings | 326 | 346 | 364 |
| 15 | Other Comprehensive Income | (2) | (1) | (1) |
| 16 | TOTAL COMMON EQUITY | 2,022 | 2,045 | 2,067 |
| 17 | LONG TERM DEBT | 1,347 | 1,349 | 1,495 |
| 18 | TOTAL CAPITAL | 3,369 | 3,394 | 3,561 |
| 19 | Short Term Debt/Notes Payable | 30 | 100 | 40 |
| 20 | Deferred Income Taxes | 368 | 377 | 390 |
| 21 | Investment Tax Credits | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 22 | Retirement Benefits | 146 | 151 | 156 |
| 23 | Asset Retirement Obligations | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 24 | Regulatory Liabilities | - | - | - |
| 25 | Other Liabilities | 573 | 587 | 600 |
| 26 | TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES | 4,492 | 4,615 | 4,753 |

Numbers may not add due to rounding.

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 25-0092-EL-SSO
Projected Balance Sheet
(In millions)

| Line No. | Description | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 |
|----------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | ASSETS | | | |
| 2 | Gross Plant in Service | 1,582 | 1,658 | 1,733 |
| 3 | CWIP | 35 | 45 | 50 |
| 4 | TOTAL UTILITY PLANT | 1,617 | 1,704 | 1,783 |
| 5 | Accumulated Depreciation | (799) | (840) | (885) |
| 6 | NET UTILITY PLANT | 818 | 863 | 898 |
| 7 | Investments | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 8 | Regulatory Assets & Deferred Debits | 572 | 593 | 612 |
| 9 | Current Assets | 122 | 103 | 102 |
| 10 | TOTAL ASSETS | 1,514 | 1,561 | 1,615 |
| 11 | EQUITY AND LIABILITIES | | | |
| 12 | Common Stock | 147 | 147 | 147 |
| 13 | Other Paid-in Capital | 334 | 335 | 336 |
| 14 | Retained Earnings | 50 | 66 | 82 |
| 15 | Other Comprehensive Income | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| 16 | TOTAL COMMON EQUITY | 529 | 548 | 565 |
| 17 | LONG TERM DEBT | 523 | 523 | 547 |
| 18 | TOTAL CAPITAL | 1,052 | 1,071 | 1,112 |
| 19 | Short Term Debt/Notes Payable | - | 22 | 27 |
| 20 | Deferred Income Taxes | 115 | 115 | 119 |
| 21 | Investment Tax Credits | (0) | (0) | (0) |
| 22 | Retirement Benefits | 51 | 49 | 47 |
| 23 | Asset Retirement Obligations | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 24 | Regulatory Liabilities | - | - | - |
| 25 | Other Liabilities | 294 | 302 | 307 |
| 26 | TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES | 1,514 | 1,561 | 1,615 |

Numbers may not add due to rounding.

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 25-0092-EL-SSO
Projected Sources and Uses of Funds
(in millions)

| Line No. | Description | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 |
|----------|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1 | Source of Funds: | | | |
| 2 | Net Income | 161 | 201 | 201 |
| 3 | Depreciation / Amortization | 131 | 144 | 160 |
| 4 | Deferred Income Taxes | (2) | (16) | (9) |
| 5 | Employee Benefits | 18 | (13) | (12) |
| 6 | Current Assets & Liabilities | 0 | 9 | 16 |
| 7 | Long-Term Debt | 0 | 0 | 250 |
| 8 | Short-Term Debt | 44 | 78 | (159) |
| 9 | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Total Sources | 353 | 403 | 447 |
| 11 | Uses of Funds: | | | |
| 12 | Cash Construction | 248 | 273 | 317 |
| 13 | Dividends Paid | 105 | 131 | 131 |
| 14 | Total Uses | 353 | 403 | 447 |

Numbers may not add due to rounding.

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company

Case No. 25-0092-EL-SSO

Projected Sources and Uses of Funds

(in millions)

| Line No. | Description | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 |
|----------|------------------------------|-------|------|------|
| 1 | Source of Funds: | | | |
| 2 | Net Income | 62 | 90 | 92 |
| 3 | Depreciation / Amortization | 117 | 122 | 135 |
| 4 | Deferred Income Taxes | 0 | (3) | (0) |
| 5 | Employee Benefits | 20 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | Current Assets & Liabilities | 5 | 8 | 6 |
| 7 | Long-Term Debt | 300 | 0 | 150 |
| 8 | Short-Term Debt | (289) | 55 | (81) |
| 9 | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Total Sources | 215 | 273 | 303 |
| 11 | Uses of Funds: | | | |
| 12 | Cash Construction | 175 | 214 | 243 |
| 13 | Dividends Paid | 40 | 58 | 60 |
| 14 | Total Uses | 215 | 273 | 303 |

Numbers may not add due to rounding.

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 25-0092-EL-SSO
Projected Sources and Uses of Funds
(in millions)

| Line No. | Description | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 |
|----------|------------------------------|------|------|------|
| 1 | Source of Funds: | | | |
| 2 | Net Income | 44 | 57 | 57 |
| 3 | Depreciation / Amortization | 40 | 39 | 43 |
| 4 | Deferred Income Taxes | 0 | (4) | (1) |
| 5 | Employee Benefits | 6 | (4) | (3) |
| 6 | Current Assets & Liabilities | 11 | 23 | 0 |
| 7 | Long-Term Debt | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 8 | Short-Term Debt | 0 | 21 | 3 |
| 9 | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Total Sources | 103 | 134 | 124 |
| 11 | Uses of Funds: | | | |
| 12 | Cash Construction | 74 | 97 | 87 |
| 13 | Dividends Paid | 29 | 37 | 37 |
| 14 | Total Uses | 103 | 134 | 124 |

Numbers may not add due to rounding.

| Income Statement | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-----|-------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|
| (1) General Assumptions | | | | | | | |
| (1) Source: 2024 10+2 forecast. | | | | | | | |
| (2) ESP VI goes into effect in 2026. | | | | | | | |
| (3) ESP IV is in effect with incremental changes from ESP VI proposal. | | | | | | | |
| (4) Income tax expense calculated at assumed effective tax rate. | | | | | | | |
| (7) Estimated Incremental ESP VI Impacts | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2026 | | 2027 | | 2028 |
| (8) Rider DCR: | | | | | | | |
| (10) | Revenue | OE | \$ 23.2 | \$ | 36.8 | \$ | 50.4 |
| (11) | | CEI | \$ 21.3 | \$ | 33.8 | \$ | 46.2 |
| (12) | | TE | \$ 6.8 | \$ | 10.7 | \$ | 14.7 |
| (13) | | | \$ 51.3 | \$ | 81.3 | \$ | 111.3 |
| (14) Rider EEC: | | | | | | | |
| (15) | Revenue | OE | \$ (0.4) | \$ | 7.4 | \$ | 5.1 |
| (17) | | CEI | \$ (0.3) | \$ | 4.0 | \$ | 2.5 |
| (18) | | TE | \$ (0.1) | \$ | 2.0 | \$ | 1.8 |
| (19) | | | \$ (0.9) | \$ | 13.4 | \$ | 9.4 |
| (20) O&M Expense: | | | | | | | |
| (21) | O&M | OE | \$ 8.7 | \$ | 8.7 | \$ | 8.7 |
| (22) | Expense | CEI | \$ 5.0 | \$ | 5.0 | \$ | 5.0 |
| (23) | | TE | \$ 2.3 | \$ | 2.3 | \$ | 2.3 |
| (24) | | | \$ 16.0 | \$ | 16.0 | \$ | 16.0 |
| (25) Amort. Expense: | | | | | | | |
| (26) | Amort. | OE | \$ (8.7) | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (27) | Expense | CEI | \$ (5.0) | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (28) | | TE | \$ (2.3) | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (29) | | | \$ (16.0) | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (30) Rider SCR: | | | | | | | |
| (31) | Revenue | OE | \$ 2.5 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (32) | | CEI | \$ 15.5 | \$ | 15.5 | \$ | 15.5 |
| (33) | | TE | \$ (0.5) | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (34) | | | \$ 17.6 | \$ | 15.5 | \$ | 15.5 |
| (35) Amort. Expense: | | | | | | | |
| (36) | Amort. | OE | \$ 2.4 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (37) | Expense | CEI | \$ 13.8 | \$ | 13.8 | \$ | 13.8 |
| (38) | | TE | \$ (0.5) | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (39) | | | \$ 15.8 | \$ | 13.8 | \$ | 13.8 |
| (40) Rider VMC: | | | | | | | |
| (41) | Revenue | OE | \$ 24.1 | \$ | 32.0 | \$ | 33.5 |
| (42) | | CEI | \$ 13.7 | \$ | 18.1 | \$ | 19.0 |
| (43) | | TE | \$ 7.6 | \$ | 5.1 | \$ | 5.5 |
| (44) | | | \$ 45.5 | \$ | 55.2 | \$ | 58.0 |
| (45) O&M Expense: | | | | | | | |
| (46) | O&M | OE | \$ 30.5 | \$ | 32.0 | \$ | 33.5 |
| (47) | Expense | CEI | \$ 17.2 | \$ | 18.1 | \$ | 19.0 |
| (48) | | TE | \$ 4.8 | \$ | 5.1 | \$ | 5.5 |
| (49) | | | \$ 52.4 | \$ | 55.2 | \$ | 58.0 |
| (50) Amort. Expense: | | | | | | | |
| (51) | Amort. | OE | \$ (6.4) | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (52) | Expense | CEI | \$ (3.4) | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (53) | | TE | \$ 2.9 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (54) | | | \$ (6.9) | \$ | - | \$ | - |

| Balance Sheet | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| (1) General Assumptions | | | | | | |
| (2) (1) Source: 2024 10+2 forecast. | | | | | | |
| (3) (2) ESP VI goes into effect in 2026. | | | | | | |
| (4) (3) ESP IV is in effect with incremental changes from ESP VI proposal. | | | | | | |
| (5) (4) Income tax expense calculated at assumed effective tax rate. | | | | | | |
| (6) | | | | | | |
| (7) Estimated Incremental ESP VI Impacts | | | | | | |
| | | 2026 | | 2027 | | 2028 |
| (8) Rider DCR: | | | | | | |
| (9) | Cash, | OE | \$ 18.0 | \$ 46.6 | \$ 85.8 | |
| (10) | Retained | CEI | \$ 16.5 | \$ 42.6 | \$ 78.5 | |
| (11) | Earnings | TE | \$ 5.3 | \$ 13.6 | \$ 25.0 | |
| (12) | | | \$ 39.8 | \$ 102.9 | \$ 189.2 | |
| (13) | | | | | | |
| (14) | | | | | | |
| (15) Rider EEC: | | | | | | |
| (16) | Cash | OE | \$ (9.1) | \$ (10.1) | \$ (12.9) | |
| (17) | | CEI | \$ (5.2) | \$ (6.0) | \$ (7.9) | |
| (18) | | TE | \$ (2.4) | \$ (2.7) | \$ (3.2) | |
| (19) | | | \$ (16.7) | \$ (18.8) | \$ (23.9) | |
| (20) | | | | | | |
| (21) | Reg Assets | OE | \$ 8.7 | \$ 8.7 | \$ 8.7 | |
| (22) | | CEI | \$ 5.0 | \$ 5.0 | \$ 5.0 | |
| (23) | | TE | \$ 2.3 | \$ 2.3 | \$ 2.3 | |
| (24) | | | \$ 16.0 | \$ 16.0 | \$ 16.0 | |
| (25) | | | | | | |
| (26) | Retained | OE | \$ (0.3) | \$ (1.4) | \$ (4.2) | |
| (27) | Earnings | CEI | \$ (0.2) | \$ (1.0) | \$ (2.9) | |
| (28) | | TE | \$ (0.1) | \$ (0.4) | \$ (0.8) | |
| (29) | | | \$ (0.7) | \$ (2.7) | \$ (7.9) | |
| (30) | | | | | | |
| (31) Rider SCR: | | | | | | |
| (32) | Cash | OE | \$ 2.5 | \$ 2.5 | \$ 2.5 | |
| (33) | | CEI | \$ 15.2 | \$ 30.3 | \$ 45.5 | |
| (34) | | TE | \$ (0.5) | \$ (0.5) | \$ (0.5) | |
| (35) | | | \$ 17.2 | \$ 32.3 | \$ 47.5 | |
| (36) | | | | | | |
| (37) | Reg Assets | OE | \$ (2.4) | \$ (2.4) | \$ (2.4) | |
| (38) | | CEI | \$ (13.8) | \$ (27.6) | \$ (41.4) | |
| (39) | | TE | \$ 0.5 | \$ 0.5 | \$ 0.5 | |
| (40) | | | \$ (15.8) | \$ (29.6) | \$ (43.4) | |
| (41) | | | | | | |
| (42) | Retained | OE | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.1 | |
| (43) | Earnings | CEI | \$ 1.3 | \$ 2.7 | \$ 4.0 | |
| (44) | | TE | \$ (0.0) | \$ (0.0) | \$ (0.0) | |
| (45) | | | \$ 1.4 | \$ 2.8 | \$ 4.1 | |
| (46) | | | | | | |
| (47) Rider VMC: | | | | | | |
| (48) | Cash | OE | \$ (6.4) | \$ (6.4) | \$ (6.4) | |
| (49) | | CEI | \$ (3.4) | \$ (3.4) | \$ (3.4) | |
| (50) | | TE | \$ 2.9 | \$ 2.9 | \$ 2.9 | |
| (51) | | | \$ (6.9) | \$ (6.9) | \$ (6.9) | |
| (52) | | | | | | |
| (53) | Reg Asset | OE | \$ 6.4 | \$ 6.4 | \$ 6.4 | |
| (54) | Balance | CEI | \$ 3.4 | \$ 3.4 | \$ 3.4 | |
| (55) | | TE | \$ (2.9) | \$ (2.9) | \$ (2.9) | |
| (56) | | | \$ 6.9 | \$ 6.9 | \$ 6.9 | |
| (57) | | | | | | |
| (58) | Retained | OE | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (0.0) | |
| (59) | Earnings | CEI | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| (60) | | TE | \$ - | \$ 0.0 | \$ - | |
| (61) | | | \$ - | \$ 0.0 | \$ (0.0) | |

| Sources & Uses of Cash | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| (1) Sources | | | | | |
| (2) | Net Income | | Source: Income Statement | | |
| (3) | Depreciation / Amortization | | Source: Income Statement | | |
| (4) | Deferred Income Taxes | | Source: 2024 10+2 forecast. | | |
| (5) | Employee Benefits | | Source: 2024 10+2 forecast. | | |
| (6) | Current Assets & Liabilities | | Source: 2024 10+2 forecast. | | |
| (7) | Long-Term Debt | | Source: 2024 10+2 forecast. | | |
| (8) | Short-Term Debt | | Source: 2024 10+2 forecast. | | |
| (9) | Other | | Source: 2024 10+2 forecast. | | |
| (10) | | | | | |
| (11) Uses | | | | | |
| (12) | Cash Construction | | Source: 2024 10+2 forecast. | | |
| (13) | Dividends Paid | | Source: 2024 10+2 forecast. | | |
| (14) | | | Incremental dividends based on forecasted | | |
| (15) | | | dividend ratio starting in 2026. | | |
| (16) | | | | | |
| (17) Estimated ESP VI Impacts | | | | | |
| (18) | | | <u>2026</u> | <u>2027</u> | <u>2028</u> |
| (19) | Dividend | OE | 65% | 65% | 65% |
| (20) | Ratio | CEI | 65% | 65% | 65% |
| (21) | | TE | 65% | 65% | 65% |
| (22) | | | | | |
| (23) | ESP VI | OE | \$ 17.8 | \$ 27.6 | \$ 36.4 |
| (24) | Earnings | CEI | \$ 17.6 | \$ 26.8 | \$ 35.2 |
| (25) | | TE | \$ 5.1 | \$ 8.1 | \$ 10.9 |
| (26) | | | \$ 40.5 | \$ 62.4 | \$ 82.5 |
| (27) | | | | | |
| (28) | ESP VI | OE | \$ 11.6 | \$ 17.9 | \$ 23.6 |
| (29) | Dividends | CEI | \$ 11.4 | \$ 17.4 | \$ 22.9 |
| (30) | | TE | \$ 3.3 | \$ 5.2 | \$ 7.1 |
| (31) | | | \$ 26.3 | \$ 40.6 | \$ 53.7 |
| (32) | | | | | |
| (33) | Total | OE | \$ 104.9 | \$ 130.5 | \$ 130.7 |
| (34) | Dividends | CEI | \$ 40.5 | \$ 58.4 | \$ 60.1 |
| (35) | | TE | \$ 28.9 | \$ 37.1 | \$ 36.9 |
| (36) | | | \$ 174.3 | \$ 226.0 | \$ 227.7 |